Machine Learning I

B. Andres, J. Irmai, J. Presberger, D. Stein, S. Zhao

Machine Learning for Computer Vision TU Dresden



Winter Term 2023/2024

Contents. This part of the course is about a special case of supervised learning: the supervised learning of disjunctive normal dorms.

- We state the problem by defining labeled data, a family of functions, a regularizer and a loss function
- ▶ We prove that the problem is hard to solve (technically: NP-hard), by relating it to the well-known set cover problem.

$$\rightarrow \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{not the digit 7} \\ 1 & \text{the digit 7} \end{pmatrix}$$

S

Data

We consider binary attributes. More specifically, we consider some finite, non-empty set V, called the set of **attributes**, and labeled data T=(S,X,x,y) such that $X=\{0,1\}^V$.

Hence, $x \colon S \to \{0,1\}^V$ and $y \colon S \to \{0,1\}$.

Family of functions

Let
$$\Gamma = \{(V_0, V_1) \in 2^V \times 2^V \mid V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset\}$$
 and $\Theta = 2^{\Gamma}$.

Definition. For any $\theta \in \Theta$ and the $f_{\theta} \colon \{0,1\}^{V} \to \{0,1\}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^V : \quad f_{\theta}(x) = \bigvee_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_v) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_v , \qquad (1)$$

the form on the r.h.s. of (1) is called the **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** defined by V and θ . The function f_{θ} is said to be defined by the DNF.

Example.
$$\{\ (\emptyset,\{v_1,v_2\}),\ (\{v_1\},\{v_3\})\ \}=\theta\in\Theta \ \text{defines the function}$$

$$f_{\theta}(x)=x_{v_1}\ x_{v_2}\ \lor\ (1-x_{v_1})\ x_{v_3}\ . \tag{2}$$

Regularization

In order to quantify the complexity of DNFs, we consider the following regularizers.

Definition. The functions $R_d, R_l: \Theta \to \mathbb{N}_0$ whose values are defined below for any $\theta \in \Theta$ are called the **depth** and **length**, resp., of the DNF defined by θ .

$$R_d(\theta) = \max_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} (|V_0| + |V_1|) \tag{3}$$

$$R_l(\theta) = \sum_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} (|V_0| + |V_1|) \tag{4}$$

Loss function

We consider the 0/1-loss L, i.e.

$$\forall r \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall \hat{y} \in \{0, 1\} \colon \quad L(r, \hat{y}) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = \hat{y} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

Definition. For any $R\in\{R_l,R_d\}$ and any $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}_0^+$, the instance of the supervised learning problem of DNFs with respect to T,L,R and λ has the form

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \lambda R(\theta) + \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} L(f_{\theta}(x_s), y_s)$$
 (6)

Definition. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}$. The instance of the **bounded depth DNF problem** w.r.t. T and m is to decide whether there exists a $\theta\in\Theta$ such that

$$R_d(\theta) \le m \tag{7}$$

$$\forall s \in S : \quad f_{\theta}(x_s) = y_s . \tag{8}$$

The instance of the **bounded length DNF problem** w.r.t. T and m is to decide whether there exists a $\theta \in \Theta$ such that

$$R_l(\theta) \le m \tag{9}$$

$$\forall s \in S \colon \quad f_{\theta}(x_s) = y_s \ . \tag{10}$$

Next, we will reduce the hard-to-solve (technically: NP -hard) set cover problem to the bounded length/depth DNF problem, thereby showing that these problems are hard to solve (NP -hard) as well. The reduction is by Haussler (1988).

Definition. For any set S and any $\emptyset \notin \Sigma \subseteq 2^S$, the set Σ is called a **cover** of S iff

$$\bigcup_{U \in \Sigma} U = S . {(11)}$$

Definition. Let S be any set, let $\emptyset \notin \Sigma \subseteq 2^S$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Deciding whether there exists a $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ such that Σ' is a cover of S, and $|\Sigma'| \leq m$ is called the instance of the **set cover problem** with respect to S, Σ and m.

Definition. For any instance (S', Σ, m) of the set cover problem, the **Haussler** data induced by (S', Σ, m) is the labeled data (S, X, x, y) such that

- $S = S' \cup \{1\}$
- $X = \{0,1\}^{\Sigma}$
- $ightharpoonup x_1 = 1^{\Sigma}$ and

$$\forall s \in S' \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma \colon \quad x_s(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in \sigma \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (12)

 $y_1 = 1 \text{ and } \forall s \in S' \colon y_s = 0$

Lemma 2: For any instance (S', Σ, m) of the set cover problem, the Haussler data (S, X, x, y) induced by (S', Σ, m) , and any $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$:

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma = S' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall s \in S' \colon \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x_s(\sigma) = 0$$

Proof.

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \sigma = S'$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S' \ \exists \sigma \in \Sigma' \colon \quad s \in \sigma$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S' \ \exists \sigma \in \Sigma' \colon \quad x_s(\sigma) = 0$$
(13)

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S' : \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x_s(\sigma) = 0 \tag{15}$$

Theorem 1. The set cover problem is reducible to the bounded depth/length DNF problem.

Proof. The proof is for any $R \in \{R_d, R_l\}$.

Let (S', Σ, m) any instance of the set cover problem.

Let T = (S, X, x, y) the Haussler data induced by (S', Σ, m) .

We show: There exists a cover $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ of S' with $|\Sigma'| \le m$ iff there exists a $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $R(\theta) < m$ and $\forall s \in S \colon f_{\theta}(x_s) = y_s$.

 $(\Rightarrow) \ \mathsf{Let} \ \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{cover} \ \mathsf{of} \ S \ \mathsf{and} \ |\Sigma'| \le m.$

Let $V_0 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 = \Sigma'$ and $\theta = \{(V_0, V_1)\}$. Thus,

$$\forall x' \in X \colon \quad f_{\theta}(x') = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} x'(\sigma) \tag{16}$$

On the one hand, $\forall s \in S' \colon f(x_s) = 0$, by Lemma 2, and $f(1^\Sigma) = 1$, by definition of f_θ . Thus, $\forall s \in S \colon f(x_s) = y_s$. On the other hand, $R(\theta) = |\Sigma'| \le m$.

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Let } \theta \in \Theta \text{ such that } R(\theta) \leq m \text{ and } \forall s \in S \colon f_{\theta}(x_s) = y_s.$ There exists a $(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) \in \theta$ such that $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$, because $1 = y_1 = f_{\theta}(x_1) = f_{\theta}(1^{\Sigma})$. Moreover:

$$\forall s \in S': \quad f(x_s) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \forall s \in S': \quad \bigvee_{(V_0, V_1) \in \theta} \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_s(v)) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_s(v) = 0$$

$$(17)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall s \in S' \ \forall (V_0, V_1) \in \theta : \qquad \prod_{v \in V_0} (1 - x_s(v)) \prod_{v \in V_1} x_s(v) = 0$$
 (18)

Thus, for $(\emptyset, \Sigma_1) \in \theta$ in particular:

$$\forall s \in S': \quad \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1} x_s(\sigma) = 0 \tag{19}$$

And by virtue of Lemma 2:

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_1} \sigma = S' \tag{20}$$

Furthermore,
$$|\Sigma_1| \leq R(\theta) = m$$
.

Summary: Supervised learning of DNFs is hard. More specifically, the NP-hard set cover problem is reducible to the bounded length/depth DNF problem by construction of Haussler data.