MACHINE LEARNING 2 SUMMER 2020

- 1. Exercise, Solution to problem 1 -

Solve exercise 1 in the lecture notes.

Solution:

first let us repeat the task from the lecture notes:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{argmax} & p_{\Theta|X,Y}(\theta, x, y) \\ \stackrel{3.3}{=} \operatorname{argmax} & \prod_{s \in S} p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(y_s, x_s, \theta) \prod_{v \in V} p_{\Theta_v}(\theta_v) \\ = \operatorname{argmax} & \sum_{s \in S} \log p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(y_s, x_s, \theta) + \sum_{v \in V} \log p_{\Theta_v}(\theta_v) \quad (3.9) \end{array}$$

Substituting in (3.9) the linearization

$$\log p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(y_s, x_s, \theta)$$

$$= y_s \log p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(1, x_s, \theta) + (1 - y_s) \log p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(0, x_s, \theta)$$

$$= y_s \log \frac{p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(1, x_s, \theta)}{p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(0, x_s, \theta)} + \log p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(0, x_s, \theta) \quad (3.10)$$

as well as (3.4) and (3.5) yields the form (3.11) below that is called the instance of the l_2 -regularized logistic regression problem with respect to x, y and σ .

$$\underset{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{s \in S} \left(-y_s \langle \theta, x_s \rangle + \log \left(1 + 2^{\langle \theta, x_s \rangle} \right) \right) + \frac{\log e}{2\sigma^2} \|\theta\|_2^2 \quad (3.11)$$

- a) Derive (3.11) from (3.10) using (3.9), (3.4) and (3.5)
- b) Is the objective function of (3.11) convex?

Solution a):

$$p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(0, x_s, \theta) = 1 - p_{Y_s|X_s,\Theta}(1, x_s, \theta)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{1 + 2^{-\langle \theta, x_s \rangle}}$$

$$= \frac{2^{-\langle \theta, x_s \rangle}}{1 + 2^{-\langle \theta, x_s \rangle}} \quad (S.1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + 2^{+\langle \theta, x_s \rangle}} \quad (S.2)$$

We now put (S.1) in the first term of (3.10) and (S.2) in the second term of (3.10):

$$y_{s} \log \frac{p_{Y_{s}|X_{s},\Theta}(1, x_{s}, \theta)}{p_{Y_{s}|X_{s},\Theta}(0, x_{s}, \theta)} + \log p_{Y_{s}|X_{s},\Theta}(0, x_{s}, \theta)$$

$$= y_{s} \log \frac{1}{2^{-\langle \theta, x_{s} \rangle}} - \log(1 + 2^{+\langle \theta, x_{s} \rangle})$$

$$= + y_{s} \langle \theta, x_{s} \rangle - \log(1 + 2^{+\langle \theta, x_{s} \rangle})$$

Using this in (3.9) and switching from argmax to argmin, which negates the term, results in (3.11) without the last term. In the last term $\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}$ is a constant factor which becomes an additive constant after the log and therefore does not change the *argmin*.

Solution b) (convexity of (3.11)):

- (3.11) is defined on \mathbb{R}^m , i.e. a convex set.
- A sum is convex if all summands are convex.
- First summand is linear, i.e. convex.
- Last summand is a sum of squares, hence convex.
- "A twice continuously differentiable function of several variables is convex on a convex set if and only if its Hessian matrix of second partial derivatives is positive semidefinite on the interior of the convex set." (copied from Wikipedia)
- We need to consider the Hessian matrix of the term $\log(1 + 2^{\langle \theta, x_s \rangle})$:

$$h_{i,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log \left(1 + 2^{\langle \theta, x_s \rangle} \right) \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\ln(2) \cdot x_{s,j} \cdot 2^{+\langle \theta, x_s \rangle}}{\ln(2) \cdot (1 + 2^{+\langle \theta, x_s \rangle})}$$
(2)

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{x_{s,j}}{(1+2^{-\langle \theta, x_s \rangle})}$$
$$= -\frac{x_{s,j}}{(1+2^{-\langle \theta, x_s \rangle})^2} \cdot (ln(2) \cdot (-x_{s,i}))$$
(3)

$$=\frac{ln(2)}{(1+2^{-\langle\theta,x_s\rangle})^2}\cdot(x_{s,i}x_{s,j})$$
(4)

The first factor is a positive constant c. The second factor is the matrix

$$M = x_s \cdot x_s^T \tag{5}$$

M is positive semi-definite if for any y

$$y^T \cdot M \cdot y \ge 0 \tag{6}$$

Because the terms in a scalar product and factors in a product can be interchanged we get

$$y^T \cdot x_s \cdot x_s^T \cdot y \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

$$(y^T \cdot x_s) \cdot (x_s^T \cdot y) \ge 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\langle y, x_s \rangle^2 \ge 0 \quad \forall \ y \tag{9}$$